

## Appendix 1

### Responses to the consultation on the Neighbourhood Planning Toolkit; Support Offer and Memorandum of Understanding.

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Introduction to Neighbourhood Planning Toolkit</b></p> <p>The ordering of the sections should flow more logically providing answers to the questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> What is a Neighbourhood Plan?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Who can develop one?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> What expertise and resources are needed to develop one? – this needs some examples of simplicity v complexity</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Why should you consider creating a NP? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o What can't a NP do?</li> <li>o What might it be able to do?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>This introduction provides a comprehensive introduction to neighbourhood planning (NP) and the suggestion of adding to this could result in duplication of information contained elsewhere within the toolkit.</p> <p>That said, the current introduction section to the toolkit could be shortened to reduce duplication.</p>	<p>Introduction to the Toolkit to be shortened to avoid duplication. Revise introduction to read as following:</p> <p><b><u>What is a neighbourhood plan?</u></b></p> <p><u>Neighbourhood plans were introduced in April 2012 through the Localism Act 2011. It is a community-led initiative giving local communities power to prepare a planning document that will be part of the statutory development plan for the district and, therefore, can be used in deciding planning applications for a local area</u></p> <p><b><u>Who can prepare a neighbourhood plan?</u></b></p> <p><u>In South Cambridgeshire it is the parish councils who are the 'qualifying bodies' that can initiate and take forward a neighbourhood plans. It is very much a local initiative for local communities.</u></p> <p><b><u>What is the Role of the Local Planning Authority?</u></b></p> <p><u>Whilst neighbourhood plans are a locally led process, South Cambridgeshire District</u></p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
			<p><u>Council (SCDC), as the local planning authority has a statutory role to play –</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>to assist and advise in this process and</u></li> <li>• <u>to take decisions at certain stages</u></li> </ul> <p><u>A Neighbourhood Planning Task and Finish Group (NPTFG) was set up by the Planning Portfolio Holder in June 2016 to develop a standard approach to how SCDC would fulfil its statutory duty to support neighbourhood planning groups and also to oversee the development of a package of local guidance leaflets for key aspects of neighbourhood plan preparation.. Membership of the group comprising a number of District Councillors, Parish Councillors, Parish Clerks, officers and chaired by the Planning Portfolio Holder.</u></p> <p><b><u>The purpose of the Neighbourhood Planning Toolkit</u></b></p> <p><u>The guidance notes forming the Neighbourhood Planning Toolkit are intended to supplement nationally available guidance giving South Cambridgeshire specific guidance and advice. The Toolkit is provided in a form that individual topics can be accessed separately or in any combination, or alternatively can be printed out as a complete</u></p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
			<p><u>document at any point in time.</u></p> <p><u>It is intended that the scope of the Toolkit will be kept under review and updated as necessary and informed by feedback from parish councils preparing neighbourhood plans</u></p> <p><u>It is recognised that other topics may be helpful to parish councils moving forwards and we will produce further guidance as required.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Appendix 1 provides a list of the current documents in the Toolkit available from the Neighbourhood Planning pages on the South Cambridgeshire website. .</u></li> <li>• <u>Appendix 2 provides a guide which summarises procedures, regulations, and useful Neighbourhood Planning Guidance documents for each stage.</u></li> </ul>
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 1 - Is a Neighbourhood Plan right for your Parish?</b></p> <p>Suggest this should come (or be revisited) after the extensive survey</p> <p>The flowchart in the appendix is good but does not align with the</p>	<p>The flow chart on page 15 of this guidance note is not intended to include all the options put forward in this note as this could result in a very complex spider diagram. It was intended to be illustrative of how a community could make a decision about whether a NP is the right tool for them.</p> <p>Agree that the Flowchart needs to be</p>	<p>Page 3 of the guidance note</p> <p>Additional sentences to be added to the end of third paragraph of guidance to read:</p> <p>The flowchart in appendix 1 gives some guidance to assist you in making a decision on what is right for your community. <u>This chart has not attempted to include all the alternative options to a NP listed in this guidance note.</u></p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>chapters in the main document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Village Design Statement is only SPD included in the appendix and SPD section should better be described as VDS</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Community Land Trust partially (focused solely on housing) described in the document but not shown in the appendix</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Rural exception sites and CrTBs do not feature in the appendix</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Parish Plans feature in the appendix but are not described in the main document.</li> </ul>	<p>amended so that it uses the same terms as those included in the main body of this guidance note.</p> <p>An SPD is described as Option 3 in the guidance note. A Village design statement is an example of just one topic that can be covered by an SPD - other subjects can be made into an SPD. Option 3 should remain as the heading and the flowchart amended to reflect this.</p> <p>Parish Plans are included as Option 8 within the guidance note.</p>	<p><u>This would have resulted in a very complex diagram.</u></p> <p>Amend Flowchart on page 15 to reflect options used within the guidance note.</p>
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 2 -Getting started</b></p> <p>This covers most of the basics but needs more clarification on the range of skills required, especially preparing the evidence base, structuring the plan into a story and ensuring the policy set is sufficiently compliant.</p>	<p>The range of skills included in this guidance note was not intended to include all those that may be required in preparing a NP. However it is recognised that other skills will be needed as the plan progresses and that members of the steering group will have to work together as the plan progresses.</p>	<p>Replace the final sentence in the section called <i>Keeping the momentum of your group</i> on page 4 of this guidance note with the following words :</p> <p><del>...You should make sure that the plan making is not just left to a few to complete.</del> <u>Make sure that plan making is widely shared, but also that someone or a small group has editorial control over the plan. This will help to get the structure and content right, ensure that policies</u></p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>More could be said about the risks. This is not a straightforward linear process and it is made more complex by the long timescales and /or external events / distractions over which there is little control.</p>		<p><u>are supported by evidence and that the plan meets the basic conditions required by national regulations..</u></p> <p>Additional skills added in the Range of Skills section on page 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>A person who may have some skills in technical report writing and ideally in planning to help oversee the drafting of the plan to ensure it reads well and that policies have evidence to support them and that they meet the basic conditions.</u></li> </ul>
<p>Stapleford and Shelford NP</p>	<p>From experience it is important to stress that the Steering group should appoint someone as a community engagement leader.</p> <p>Failed to do and therefore have lost the input of those who expressed interest initially.</p>	<p>Community engagement is key to the success of a neighbourhood plan. As experience has shown that having this identified skill in the steering group is important this should be added to the list on page 4 of the guidance</p>	<p>Amend the wording of the third skill listed in the Range of Skills section on page 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a project planner to keep the plan on track <u>and to keep a record of those that have offered to get involved in plan making.</u></li> </ul>
<p>Cottenham NP Group</p>	<p><b>Guidance note 3 - Neighbourhood Planning – Support offer (parallel activity to almost everything)</b></p>	<p>SCDC has a duty to support parish councils preparing neighbourhood plans and the support offer outlines where we are willing and able to help local communities. As we</p>	<p>No change proposed</p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>The offer is limited and relatively passive, relying mostly on a lending library (?) and on-line resources, most of which duplicates resources available elsewhere, plus some commentary on emerging plans and a health check. More and more timely focused technical workshops would be useful, especially with groups at a similar stage of planning or tackling a similar issue. There could be more on how to make best use of the grant funding, especially for research and planning policy, and technical support available from DCLG via Locality.</p>	<p>have over a hundred parishes we wish to ensure that we can offer the same level of help to all within the district. The support offer does cover a range of tasks that we will help local communities with. The on-line guidance does not simply duplicate but provides a South Cambridgeshire focus to the different aspects involved in preparing a plan.</p> <p>We are committed to having further workshops.</p> <p>It is for the local communities to decide on the issues to be covered within their plans and for them to decide how best to fund this. SCDC provides a supporting role highlighting where grant money is available from and not how it should be used as each parish will have a different focus to their plan.</p>	
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Included as item 3 in NP Toolkit Support offer to Parish Councils (parallel activity to almost everything)</b></p> <p>Focus here should perhaps be on that information and those</p>	As above.	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>services which are uniquely available from SCDC. The support offer is pretty limited and most Parish Councils will benefit more from using Locality grants to fund help from market researchers and planning consultants.</p>		
<p>Waterbeach Neighbourhood Plan Group on behalf of Waterbeach Parish Council</p>	<p><b>Included as item 3 in NP Toolkit Support offer to Parish Councils</b></p> <p>Would like to see a little more commitment from SCDC to meet a deadline for responding to submitted Documents and Policies. Suggest SCDC <u>will</u> respond within 2 weeks. Particularly as they will have 3 weeks notice to plan the resource.</p> <p>Also have concerns on the financial commitment for Parish Councils in producing a Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat</p>	<p><u>Shortened deadline</u> SCDC has a duty to support all the parish councils (PCs) in the district who are preparing neighbourhood plans. This workload to date has been irregular and can coincide with busy periods on other agreed work priorities for the Planning Policy team such as the Local Plan examination.</p> <p>The experience to date of commenting on NP documents submitted by PCs is that in order to provide a full response this has often involved the planning officers having to engage with technical specialists within the Council. Undoubtedly PCs will want to receive as full a response as possible. In order to plan the team and Council's resources 3 weeks is a more realistic response time than that proposed by Waterbeach NPG. If this coincides with</p>	

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>Regulation Assessment.</p> <p>In the dark as the relevant Documents for SEA and HRA are not in the pack so find it difficult to assess what the costs may be. Would however welcome a more generous contribution from SCDC and urge them to look into getting as close as possible to full funding. Particularly for those with a strategic site within the area.</p>	<p>another priority task or if a number of PCs want assistance at the same time it may rarely be the case that even 3 weeks will not be possible. However it should be highlighted that SCDC will keep any PC informed of the likely date when they can expect a response recognising that it does not create a good working relationship if responses are delayed unreasonably.</p> <p><u>Financial commitment</u>  A Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) integrates consideration of environmental impacts into the process of preparing a plan to see what impacts policies in the NP have on the environment. A Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) considers whether a plan will have a significant impact on European wildlife sites. A PC will need to screen their draft plan to check whether or not it will have certain impacts on the environment that trigger the need for a full SEA or HRA. Your NP must be compatible with EU obligations in order to be legally compliant – this is one of the basic conditions that a NP must pass at examination.</p> <p>SCDC as part of its support offer will organise and pay for one SEA and HRA</p>	

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
		<p>Screening of a NP up to a maximum cost of £1000. The offer by SCDC has included a cost limit as there are only limited resources available for neighbourhood planning in the district. SCDC has no obligation as part of its duty to support to provide financial assistance and this offer recognises that such screenings are a vital part of the plan making but involve specialist expertise that a PC is unlikely to have in its steering group.</p> <p>The SEA guidance is included with the December report and this provides details regarding SEA and HRA requirements.</p>	
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Included as item 4 in NP Toolkit Memorandum of Understanding</b></p> <p>Concerns about the confusion of activities and milestones in the timeline which implies this is an orderly predictable sequential process. No PC would know what they are signing up to from this or have the information to provide a timeline. Better awareness of the complexity and timescales</p>	<p>SCDC is keen to work with any of its local communities that want to prepare neighbourhood plans. As there are many parish councils within the district we would like to be more aware of the progress of these plans so that we can best deploy the resources we have available.</p> <p>It is the intention of SCDC that a parish council/s would only sign up to the memorandum (MOU) once they had decided to prepare a plan and a neighbourhood area is designated. As is set out in the 'Support Offer' once an area is designated we offer to meet with the parish</p>	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>might dampen unreasonable expectations.</p> <p>There are some milestones:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Developing a vision and objectives</li> <li>2. Choosing to develop a Neighbourhood Plan</li> <li>3. Getting an area designated</li> <li>4. Preparing a draft pre-submission plan (involving several parallel, possibly iterative activities) under Regulation 14               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Gathering the evidence base (desk research and surveys)</li> <li>b. Engaging the community (publications, social media, surveys, workshops)</li> <li>c. Generating options</li> <li>d. Writing policies (cookbook and bespoke)</li> <li>e. Publishing the draft “pre-submission” plan (possibly in multiple formats and platforms)</li> <li>f. Screening the plan for environmental impacts etc.</li> <li>g. Assessing the plan for environmental impacts etc. (if</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<p>council/s and it is at this stage that we will have the opportunity to fully explain the value of the MOU and that we will regularly make contact to track their progress so that we can best help all those preparing plans. It has been recognised within the MOU that the template can be tailored to meet the particular requirements of each parish council and could be revised as they progress in plan making.</p>	

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>required)</p> <p>h. Revising the plan</p> <p>i. Arranging an Examiner</p> <p>j. Preparing the supporting documentation</p> <p>5. Submitting the plan to LPA under Regulation 16, 17, 23 and 24</p> <p>a. Checking the existence of necessary support documentation</p> <p>b. Publishing the “submission” plan</p> <p>c. Consulting the community and wider consultees (inc. EA, Historic England and Natural England)</p> <p>d. Aggregation of comments</p> <p>6. Consideration by NP Examiner</p> <p>a. Examination</p> <p>b. Consideration of Examiners report</p> <p>7. Planning and promoting the referendum (normally within 8 weeks of the decision to hold one, itself 5 weeks after the Examiner’s report)</p> <p>a. Publishing the “referendum”</p>		

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>plan</p> <p>b. Notifying electorate etc.</p> <p>8. Making the plan (within 8 weeks of a positive referendum, unless legally challenged)</p>		
<p>Waterbeach Neighbourhood Plan Group on behalf of Waterbeach Parish Council</p>	<p><b>Included as item 4 in NP Toolkit Memorandum of Understanding</b></p> <p>In the Memorandum of Understanding seek greater commitment to meet deadlines by changing wording in additional responsibilities (b) from:</p> <p><i>In addition to their statutory responsibilities, the District Council will:</i></p> <p>b. <b><i>seek to ensure</i></b> that it is able to respond to requests for support in a timely manner, in line with the parish council's key milestones</p> <p><i>To:</i></p> <p>b. <b><i>Ensure</i></b> that it is able to respond to requests for support</p>	<p>SCDC in the MOU does not consider it appropriate to include commitments it may not be able to meet. Any PC submitting a document for comment would be kept informed on the likely time when they could expect a response from SCDC. The reasons why a response may not be met by SCDC are set out in the response to Waterbeach NPG in the Support Offer section above.</p>	

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<i>in a timely manner, in line with the parish council's key milestones.</i>		
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 5 -Getting a neighbourhood area designated</b></p> <p>Advise that the complexity and costs of working with large areas and/or multiple parishes should be avoided, unless the survey has demonstrated an overwhelming need to do so.</p>	<p>SCDC advises parish councils to see if adjoining parishes wish to join them in designating a single area to prepare a joint plan. It is for each parish council to decide on whether they wish to work together. For some joint working is a good option and the benefits have been set out in the guidance note on page 4. However it is recognised that each parish council would not be eligible for Locality funding which could be included to provide a balanced view.</p>	<p>Add a paragraph before the end of last paragraph in the section 'However there are things that you should consider:'</p> <p><u>Locality grant: If a joint plan is to be prepared only one grant can be applied for from Locality as they allocate their support per plan. Each individual parish council would not be eligible for a separate grant.</u></p>
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 7 -Generating options</b></p> <p>Following on from setting a vision and objectives with a designated area, this step tests the community's appetite for change in realising the objectives.</p> <p>Each objective needs unconstrained suggestions (whether by facilitated brainstorm or planted suggestions) on how it might be achieved with some assessment of likely social</p>	<p>SCDC recognises that generating options is an important part of the plan making process which is why a guidance note has been prepared on it.</p>	<p>No change proposed</p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	acceptability and subsequent development to make them credible and deliverable.		
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 8 - Writing policies</b></p> <p>Cookbooks of existing adopted policies might then be useful but risks losing community engagement so simplified descriptions remain important.</p>	<p>This guidance note was not ready to be part of the consultation carried out on the toolkit during September and October.</p> <p>It has now been prepared and is included with this December Planning Portfolio Holder report. There is an opportunity for parish councils to submit any comments they have on this note as set out in the report at paragraphs 25-26.</p>	N/A
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 9 -Strategic environment assessment screening</b></p> <p>To provide clarity on what may or may not trigger a need for a full assessment.</p>	<p>This guidance note was not ready to be part of the consultation carried out on the toolkit during September and October.</p> <p>It has now been prepared and is included with this December Planning Portfolio Holder report. There is an opportunity for parish councils to submit any comments they have on this note as set out in the report at paragraphs 25-26.</p>	N/A
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 10 - Sources of evidence and information</b></p> <p>A good list of sources without a librarian to help resolve your question into where to look first and second.</p>	<p>Welcome the positive comments and it is the intention of SCDC to add further guidance notes to the toolkit which could include one about housing and development.</p>	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>Would benefit from a FAQs linked to relevant sources.            “How do I understand demand for housing in my neighbourhood?”            “How do I assess if a site is likely to be suitable for development as ...?”</p>		
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 11 - Community engagement and NPs</b></p> <p>Getting to “yes” votes from enough people at referendum can be a long time after the initial community survey; circumstances change, opinions change, residents change – maintaining and adapting purpose is key.            Neighbourhood Planning has to accept that you cannot please all the people all the time and most people have self-interest at heart when reviewing proposals; they also have to be persuaded of the importance of the issue if they are to allocate any time to it.            And, perversely, if people don’t</p>	<p>It is recognised that community engagement is a vital part of all planning and especially neighbourhood planning where the final success of a plan is judged at the referendum where the local community has the opportunity to support or reject the plan.</p> <p>The importance of recording community engagement for inclusion in the Consultation Statement that must be submitted to SCDC alongside the neighbourhood plan is included as a Top Tip on page 5 of the guidance.</p>	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>like one real or imagined aspect of the plan they may vote it down despite liking other aspects.</p> <p>In larger communities, representative engagement is very difficult, yet there is a need for a basic level of understanding of the what and why of a NP.</p> <p>Engagement of community leaders and publicity at community events are key parts of raising awareness of and confidence in a plan and its policies.</p> <p>Presentations, articles, workshops, social media and focused surveys all play a part. – if shared through an engaged network.</p> <p>The importance of recording this activity for the consultation statement has been overlooked.</p>		
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 12 -Basic conditions statement</b></p> <p>Could be better described as “how you might not meet them”</p> <p>This needs a more pragmatic</p>	<p>SCDC advises a PC right from the start of the plan making process that they need to be aware of the basic conditions. These are vital to the success of a plan through examination.</p>	<p>A section has been added to the introduction of this guidance on page 3 that sets out some of the pitfalls. The wording is as follows:</p> <p><u>There are some common pitfalls that a parish council may fall into when writing the policies</u></p>

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<p>description if NP is to be truly supported by SCDC; otherwise transgression become visible only after a lot of community goodwill has been created. There is more info in the “support offer” document; it should be here. Maybe a list of the “ten most likely / most problematic errors” would be helpful.</p>	<p>To clarify some of the pitfalls that a PC may find when preparing their plan the guidance note is to be revised to highlight the commonly made pitfalls relating to basic conditions.</p>	<p><u>to ensure their plan meets the basic conditions. When writing policies for your plan make sure that you are not:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Rewriting the local plan by duplicating policies already included in the Local Plan::</u></li> <li>• <u>Duplicating or ignoring what is in national legislation and guidance;</u></li> <li>• <u>Not proposing alternative options to site allocations which could fall down in SEA assessment.</u></li> </ul>
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 13- Strategic policies</b></p> <p>There are too many policies invoked when, in many cases, only part is truly strategic. Plea for the next Local Plan to separate out parts of policies that are really strategic</p>	<p>SCDC considers the strategic policies are correctly identified in both the LDF and emerging Local Plan. They have been agreed by the Planning Portfolio Holder and our approach supported by our legal section and submitted to the Local Plan Inspector for inclusion in the Local Plan on its adoption.</p>	No change proposed
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 14 -Site assessments</b></p> <p>Useful reference but could be simplified to answer:  <input type="checkbox"/> Why consider site allocation – potential control of where and how enough development occurs</p>	<p>If a local community is considering allocating sites within their neighbourhood plan a robust method must be used to assess all reasonable site options. The guidance note uses a similar method to that used by SCDC in assessing sites for the emerging Local Plan. If a robust method is not used your neighbourhood plan could be open to challenge from developers and may</p>	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> How to identify possible sites – screening and ranking criteria, call for sites</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> How to screen out impracticable sites – applying the screening criteria</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> How to rank potential sites – applying the ranking criteria</li> </ul>	not be successful when it is examined.	
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 15 - Maps for Neighbourhood Plans</b></p> <p>Maps are both useful and necessary but many are available elsewhere more quickly. Focus here should perhaps be on those maps which are uniquely available from SCDC.</p>	Our experience of working with parish councils has found that there is much demand for specific maps for a parish area as they do not have this technical expertise. This guidance note provides us with the opportunity to be clear about what maps we will prepare for each neighbourhood area.	No change proposed
Cottenham NP Group	<p><b>Guidance note 16 - Affordable Housing</b></p> <p>The ordering of the sections should flow more logically providing answers to the questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> What is meant by affordable housing?</li> <li>o What are they?</li> </ul>	SCDC is considering preparing an additional guidance note on including housing issues within a neighbourhood plan. Such guidance could provide the opportunity to provide wider information about housing at a local level.	No change proposed

Respondent	Representations made	South Cambridgeshire District Council (SCDC) Response	Changes to the Toolkit
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Will they really solve your problem?</li> <li>o Should you work with a HA or set up a CLT?</li> <li>o Who builds them?</li> <li>o Who owns them?</li> <li>o Who manages them?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> When and why should you consult SCDC's Housing Strategy team?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Why you might, or might not, include affordable housing policies in the NP?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> How do you establish how much affordable housing to include in the NP?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Why should you consider selecting sites for affordable housing?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> What other issues should you consider?</li> </ul>		